

The Trinity Tripod

Vol. LXVII No. 7

TRINITY COLLEGE, HARTFORD

January 24, 1969

New Faculty Group Formed To Discuss, Evaluate Policy *Need for Smaller Body Emphasized*

by Richard Klibaner

"The conference ... constitutes the beginning of an effectively organized faculty, able to play a significant role in policy formation with student, administration and trustee bodies", stated Dr. Rex C. Neaverson in a statement discussing the newly created Trinity Faculty Conference. Neaverson cited the attendance of administration officials and the size of faculty meetings as reasons for the creation of the new body.

He described the present faculty meetings as "too large and unwieldy for appropriate discussion of complicated issues". Neaverson also stated that the meetings are not completely "faculty" meetings because over the years an increasing number of administrative

personnel have come to attend the meetings".

Neaverson noted that "it is a good thing to have faculty and administrative heads regularly meeting together to discuss matters of mutual concern", but commented that there was a need for "a place where only faculty members alone may discuss any matter of interest without the presence of any outside person".

The proposal for the Trinity Faculty Conference presented by Dr. Neaverson was passed at a faculty meeting in December. It provides for a group of eight faculty members who will have the authority to "study, evaluate, and make recommendations" on proposals which are presented to it.

According to Neaverson, the group will be "small enough for discussion to be effective and large enough for members of the Conference to solicit opinions from most of the faculty". It will also have the power to call meetings of the faculty to discuss "any matter of interest to the faculty".

Neaverson stressed that the Conference would not have any legislative powers, and that it would "in no way replace the faculty meeting". It will have the authority "to suggest alternatives to proposals put before it". Such recommendations would then be submitted to the full faculty for "appropriate action".

The Secretary of the Faculty, who will serve as chairman of the conference, was the subject of another motion submitted at the December Faculty meeting. This proposal for "Redefinition of the Role of the Secretary of the Faculty" was submitted by the Trinity College Chapter of the American Association of University Professors (AAUP).

The proposal would make the position of Faculty Secretary a "most powerful position" according to Professor of Government Murray S. Stedman. The proposal provides that the Secretary's duties will include communications from members or committees of the Faculty, from the administration,

(Continued on Page 4)



(Bill Rosser)

Professor of Government Rex C. Neaverson, who presented the suggestion for a Trinity Faculty Conference passed by the faculty last month. He termed present faculty meetings "too large and unwieldy."

New TIC Chairman Claims Evaluation Dated, Invalid

by Jeff Bahrenburg

Newly appointed head of the Trinity Interaction Center (TIC) Robert B. Hurst '71 charged, in a TRIPOD interview Wednesday, that the severely critical evaluation of TIC, submitted by John P. Osler '70 earlier this week had "misrepresented an entirely new staff".

According to Hurst, Osler's report, which maintained that the center lacked any clearly defined purpose, "is a very good evaluation of the center up until the last meeting before the Christmas vacation." Hurst pointed out that at this meeting the executive committee recognized the problems spelled out in the evaluation and decided to do something about it. He noted that most of the leadership which the evaluator had criticized has since quit or resigned.

Among the recommendations in the evaluation was a call for the end of Mather Hall Director David L. Knowlton's administrative role. Knowlton declined comment in a TRIPOD interview, noting that he was functioning as an advisor. Commenting on the charges presented, Hurst said, "I don't know of his role last semester, but he has been very helpful so far."

Many of the Report's recommendations have already been acted upon. Noting that the present student leadership has been involved in social action, Hurst declared, "now the center is an outgrowth of practical needs." He stressed that the charges of "administrative ineptness" apply to the students working last semester and should not be confused with the new leadership. New members of the steering committee are: Charles R. Hosking '70, Kevin B. Sullivan '71, William S. Searle, Jr. '70, and William D. Taggart '71.

According to Hurst, TIC is presently functioning well. Already this semester twenty people have been placed in outgoing programs with the Revitalization Core, which is now part of the center. Hurst described the primary function of TIC as to serve as a pool of resources. "This is significantly different from the clearing house

idea," he added. The Interaction Center hopes to provide training, counseling, and, in general, materials the student would not be able to get by himself. The center not only hopes to offer the student a chance to serve the community, but also wants to promote the educational opportunities available.

Havelock Examines Greek's Influence on Modern War

Calls War Today 'A Way of Life'

"In our ill-fated century, war has intruded into our decisions and our lives to the point where it could justifiably be called a way of life," according to Dr. Eric A. Havelock, who delivered the annual Moore Greek Lecture Tuesday night. In his lecture, "War as a Way of Life in Greek Authors," Havelock discussed the Greek influence on modern concepts of war.

Havelock, Sterling Professor of Greek at Yale, characterizes himself as being an "inconoclast" for his belief that war has been a way of life since the emergence of Greece up through this century. "The Greeks," said Havelock, were the first to consider war an essential part of one's life. This was first displayed, he continued, in Homer, whose ideas on the nobility and necessity of war were incorporated into the ILLIAD and the ODYSSEY, which became the dominating literary works of the Greeks.

"After Homer," he related, "both Herodotus and Thucydides imitated his style, in hopes of supplanting him." In each case, Havelock em-



(Will Whetzel)

Dr. Eric A. Havelock, who Tuesday evening examined Greek influence on concepts of war.

phasized, the military aspect was dominant, both stressing that being a soldier was a necessity in the life of any Greek.

Havelock, a Cambridge graduate who also studied at Harvard, felt that in Roman civilization, there was less stress placed on purely military aspects, and more on duty to the state, and to order preserved within it.

College to Raise Faculty Salaries, Grant Tenure

by Alan Marchisotto

As presently written, the tenure report recommends that new appointments to the faculty should not be for a period greater than three years and that an understanding as to reappointment should be arrived at before a new professor arrives on campus. In regard to reappointment, the statement recommends that, "notice of intention not to recommend for reappointment shall reach the faculty member by no later than December 15 of the academic year in which his appointment expires." This proposal, it was pointed out, does not measure up to AAUP standards, which require a full year. In formulating a policy for promotions, the report stated that, "time-in-rank shall not be a factor either for or against eligibility for promotion."

Criteria were also established for the various teaching levels within the College. Instructors are to be appointed for one year and assistant professors for two. Degree requirements and "demonstrated professional competence" are also required of assistant professors. Associate professors, the report states, must show, in addition to the above, "mature scholarship" and "continuous and substantial service to the College." Appointments to this rank carry with them three year terms, two such appointments constituting tenure, unless otherwise stated by the College. Full professors promoted from within the faculty are automatically granted tenure while those appointed from outside the faculty must be either granted or denied it within two years of their arrival on campus.

The granting of tenure, stated the report, "commits Trinity College to permanent appointment as long as the position to which the appointment is made continues to exist -- even though it does not guarantee either subsequent promotion or salary increments..." To be eligible, the report concludes, a professor must have been teaching, full time, for at least seven years (four at Trinity)

and have attained the rank of associate professor. The report does allow, however, for certain exemptions. Those faculty members on permanent tenure, according to the report, may be discharged on grounds of "moral turpitude, teaching incompetence, mental or physical incapacity to perform his duties, or conviction of violation of the Constitution of the United States." Discontinuance of a department or particular subjects, or financial difficulties within the College were also cited as valid reasons for dismissal. It is this area of the report, dealing with tenure, that appears to be undergoing the greatest scrutiny by faculty members.

The College is now committed to the establishment of tenure and to a five-year plan to raise faculty salaries to the A level in AAUP ratings, the faculty was told Tuesday. While one faculty member described reaction to the pay increases as "encouraged," unrest is building over the tenure proposals, which do not meet AAUP standards.

According to the faculty salary proposals, the size of the faculty will be frozen over the next five years, while the student body is increased to 1600 and tuition is increased. Since AAUP standards go up automatically six per cent a year, it is estimated that by 1973 salaries will have risen approximately forty per cent.

The College currently has an A rating in salaries for assistant professors, with a B rating for associate and full professors.

JUNIOR ADVISER

APPLICATIONS

The due date of essays which constitute application for the position of Junior Adviser to the class of 1973 has been extended until next Tuesday, January 28. The essay, to be no more than three pages, should discuss why the applicant wants to become a J.A., and the ways in which he feels the system might be improved.

Trinity Tripod

EDITORIAL SECTION

January 24, 1969

Setting Priorities

The disturbing reality that emerged from the faculty meeting of last Tuesday is that it is far from certain that the College can fulfill successfully its commitment to raise its faculty wages to an 'A' rating (by AAUP standards) within five years. Although Dr. Lockwood gave an audio-visual presentation of the College's short-range financial projections that offered some grounds for hope, the prognosis for achieving respectable faculty salaries is, in the words of one faculty member, "guarded."

The importance of raising faculty salaries cannot be exaggerated. Trinity wages for assistant, associate and full professors are substantially below those offered by most of the institutions with whom we compete, and interviews held by the TRIPOD during the past week indicate that the College is in danger of losing some of its most respected academicians.

The administration claims that raising faculty salaries is its greatest priority. If this is the case, the College must examine the validity of its other commitments. At the faculty meeting it was revealed that the athletic center operated during the year at a deficit of \$47,000. We believe that the addiction to inter-collegiate athletics is an unnecessary burden on the resources of the College, and that its place at the College should be reevaluated. One might also wonder why the Department of Physical Education should be one of the largest on campus.

Trinity Tripod

EDITORIAL BOARD
EDITOR
David W. Green '71

MANAGING EDITOR
Kenneth P. Winkler '71

ARTS EDITOR
J. Warren Kalbacker '71

NEWS EDITOR
David Sarasohn '71

SPORTS EDITOR
Roy A. Wentz '71

CITY EDITOR
Michael E. Trigg '71

CONTRIBUTING EDITORS
Alan Marchisotto '71
Steven H. Keeney '71

PHOTOGRAPHY EDITORS
William R. Rosser '71
Michael S. Sample '69

STAFF
Gerald A. Hatch '69, Dewitt D. Barlow '69, J. Nicholas Hayes '69, William D. Elliot '69, Daniel J. Reilert '70, Joan Rundle, Vassar '70, Stephanie Munro, Vassar '70, Marian Fox, Vassar '71, Charles E. Shouse '71, William J. O'Reilly, Jr. '71, William C. Foureman '71, Peter W. Devine '71, Steven Chernaik '71, Timothy H. Moran '72, Tim N. Wallich '72, Richard B. Kilbaner '72, John F. Bahrenburg '72, Robert J. Arceel '72, Compton C. Maddox '72, James G. Hanley '72, Vaughn P.M. Keith '72, Everett L. Minard '72, Timothy K. Smith '72, Harry M. Fried '72, Hale L. Anderson '72, Stephen R. Foley '72, Alexander S. MacDonald '72, Paul M. Sachner '72, Michael R. Gilboy '72, Steven LaPlant '71, Robert V. Haas '71, Shawn F. O'Donnell '71

BUSINESS BOARD
BUSINESS MANAGER
Alan M. Mendelson '69

CIRCULATION MANAGER
R.T. Thompson '71

ADVERTISING MANAGER
Rocco J. Maffei '72

Published twice-weekly during the academic year except vacations by students of Trinity College. Published at West Hartford News, Isham Road, West Hartford, Conn.

Student subscription included in activities fee; others \$8.50 per year. Second class postage paid at Hartford, Connecticut, under the act of March 3, 1879.

Offices located in the basement of Mather Hall, Trinity College, Hartford, Connecticut 06106.

Telephones: 246-1829 or 527-3153, ext. 252

KEEP THE BALL ROLLING

A Glance at the Sit-In and After

by Michael Jimenez

Last spring an aberration took place at Trinity, one which wasn't reported in the Alumni magazine and which will probably elicit just passing mention in the great epic of Trinity College. Our sit-in did provoke some rather inane comments from the Hartford press and a re-reading of the editorials and articles would render most of us speechless with anger or mirth. Aside from the Student-Faculty Disciplinary Committee Report little of any honesty or consistency has been written about the sit-in. There has been of course a great deal of original thought on the incident. We have an array of interesting myths at large ranging from a complicated plot thesis to a genuine helot revolt.

On the one hand we are confronted with a largely conservative student body rather taken aback by the sit-in - perhaps a bit ashamed. To them, and one suspects to some faculty and administrators who didn't heed the mood of the campus last year, and to those with a natural proclivity to see insidious intent everywhere, the sit-in was certainly planned in some SDS-infested corner of Trinity College. Variations on the plot thesis included aid from the SDS national, Hartford black militants, and other groups. Most people will declare that their friends in the sit-in "student leaders, varsity athletes, members of various academic and honor societies..." were dupes of a hypocritical, totalitarian elite. They were used to further the aims of a group which are known to us all to be obviously physically, morally, and intellectually reprehensible.

Revolutionary Mythology

Just as a musing as the plot thesis is the mythology of the so-called revolutionaries of Trinity College. Our leftists, although many of them are off to Vassar to preach the truth, have spent much of their efforts since the sit-in in building a convenient and vain-glorious myth of barricade politics and student victory. This was their moment of truth - students arose in anger, locked their corporate masters in their chambers, and defied all powers under earth and heaven for two days. This is not a memory, whether true or not, which shall soon be forgotten by them. They even further recount that the aroused students and faculty came together and joined hands to march for justice. How many freshmen have heard this epic of revolution and wondered if it really isn't a dream?

In fact the events of April 22-23 were inspired by frustration, much of it inflicted by inept student leadership, faculty complacency, and administration obstinacy. It was however an individual action - a demonstration of concern. No plot or collective uprising, it would have tottered and fallen before any administration demonstration of empathy. The decision to enter the sit-in was merely an extension of a politics which has yielded little results from the administration for many months; to others it was a halting gesture of revolt against Trinity; to others an affirmation of their own dignity and/or perverseness.

"Whither?"

Despite the fact that the sit-in involved only a relatively small number of students and despite the fact that it was focused on the single issue of the white problem in America, the events from the death of Martin Luther King to the final trustee invalidation of the faculty declaration on due process indicated a severe dissatisfaction with the process of "mis-education" at Trinity and the absence of any real "community of scholars."

The discussions last spring focused on the need to build anew a community at Trinity. Indeed that simple word community is the most ill-used and misunderstood word on this campus. It is to an adequate definition of this word and action upon that definition which should be the most important task of all segments of the Trinity community.

Perhaps E. H. Carr's probing words can stir us to consider if we are really heading in the right direction even now: "It is not the waning of faith in reason among the intellectuals and political thinkers of the English speaking world which perturbs me most, but the loss of a pervading sense of a world in perpetual motion. This seems at first sight paradoxical; for rarely has so much superficial talk been heard of change going on around us. But the significant thing is that change is no longer thought of as achievement, as opportunity, as progress, but as an object of fear... Good historians... have the future in their bones. Beside the question Why? the historian also asks the question whither?"

Nothing Has Changed

Just how far have we come to approaching a genuine community of scholars? What is most disturbing about January 1969 is the fact that we have not really made serious advances to the kind of community May of 1968 envisioned. We have a faculty which has written the new President a carte blanche to deal with any new "incidents". We have a Board of Trustees not much more involved in their community than before. We have a student leadership bordering on the absurd. We have a new President and administration which has learned the lessons of last spring well - that incidents are avoidable - listen, involve some students in the committee game, and satisfy some material demands.

The reality is that real substantive change in the academic community is not forthcoming under the present system, because such a change implies a redistribution of power, a re-structuring of the decision-making process, and a radical alteration of our educational attitudes. This is not to deny the good intentions of the new President and the innovative features of his administration. We have a new curriculum of sorts; we have co-education; we have a plethora of committees, symbols of so-called student involvement.

It's Still the Same

Yet we must be constantly wary of this concept of involvement: involvement is not power nor will it give us a new academic community. In a very real sense the creation of involvement opportunities siphons off a good deal of student leadership to activities which are not as of immediate importance as the creation of a new student-faculty administration. Some of the committees created in the last nine months are moribund; others are involved in necessary participation on the part of students. What is significant is that they do not really alter the position of the students at Trinity. We are most disturbingly the objects of policy at Trinity, not the makers of it. And what is even

more frightening is the possibility that all administrative re-organization and technocratic innovations is not really in the interests of the academic community. Things may function more smoothly; students demands may be met; we may all be very happy and comfortable, but we are all still powerless in the face of a most friendly administration.

Something to Do

If the administration has co-opted change, even if it be superficial, to a great extent this is due to a Student Senate which has often acted with incredulous stupidity. What is particularly disturbing for example is the fact that after the student body rejected the judicial proposal, why didn't the Senate work to set up an interim judicial system, a temporary rejuvenation of the Medusa or some other group? Instead we are left in a judicial limbo, at the mercy of the Faculty Committee on Discipline and Academic Standing. This is patent irresponsibility, however we can find beyond the Senate squabbles and personal conflicts an even more disturbing situation: the reaction of the student body to the events of last spring and the mollifying quality of the Lockwood administration has been a deep sleep. I suspect we have been bitten by the tsetse fly. There is perhaps apprehension at being taken advantage of by any radicals; and there is the relative satisfaction with the material benefits of benevolent despotism. Our radicals, such as they are not, have gone to greener pastures (or so they think). We shall now get down to the books and the papers and the exams and the quizzes. We shall not question too much. We shall come to classes bright and scrubbed and ready to be instructed. I am reminded of a passage in Arendt's book THE HUMAN CONDITION "The last stage of the laboring society, the society of jobholders, demands of its members a sheer automatic functioning... It is quite conceivable that the modern age - which began with such an unprecedented and promising outburst of human activity - may end, in the deadliest, most sterile passivity history has ever known."

It's Up to You

Students need not destroy the advances of the first "six months", but they do need to question and seek to apply solutions from their own perspective. I am reminded of Mike Williams on the night of April 23, black face beaming underneath all those bright cameras, when he said: "Keep the ball rolling."

Placement

FRIDAY, JANUARY 24

Aetna Life & Casualty Home Office - B.H., C.1
Aetna Casualty - B.H., C. 2
Aetna Group - B.H., C. 3
New York Times - B.H., C. 4

MONDAY, JANUARY 27

Hartford National Bank & Trust Co. - B.H., C. 1
Marine Midland Grace Trust Co. - B.H., C. 2
I.B.M. Corporation - B.H., C. 3
I.B.M. Corporation - B.H., C. 4

TUESDAY, JANUARY 28

Chase Manhattan Bank - Elton Lounge
Alleghany Ludlum Steel Corporation - B.H., C. 1
U.S. Shipbuilding (Groton) - B.H., C. 2
Anaconda American Brass Co. - B.H., C. 3
The Fidelity Bank - B.H., C. 4

KARATE CLUB

There will be a meeting at 5 p.m. Monday, January 27 for those interested in participating in the informal sport of karate. For further information contact Hugo Luke, Box 909, or 278-5269.

"A computer has no mind of its own. Its 'brainpower' comes from the people who create the programs," says Rod Campany.

Rod earned a B.S. in Math in 1966. Today, he's an IBM Systems Programmer working on a portion of Operating System/360, a hierarchy of programs that allows a computer to schedule and control most of its own operations.

A mixture of science and art

"Programming" means writing the instructions that enable a computer to do its job. Says Rod, "It's a mixture of science and art. You're a scientist in the sense that you have to analyze problems in a completely logical way.

"But you don't necessarily hunt for an ultimate right answer. There can be as many solutions to a programming problem as there are programmers. That's where the art comes in. Any given program may work, but how well it works depends entirely on the ingenuity of the programmer."

Programmers hold a key position in the country's fastest growing major industry—information processing. *Business Week* reports that the computer market is expanding about 20 percent a year.

You don't need a technical degree

If you can think logically and like to solve problems, you could become an IBM pro-

grammer no matter what your major. We'll start you off with up to twenty-six weeks of classroom and practical training.

Visit your placement office

Sign up at your placement office for an interview with IBM. Or send a letter or resume to Paul Koslow, IBM, Department C, 425 Park Avenue, New York, New York 10022.


**ON
CAMPUS
JAN.
27**

An Equal Opportunity Employer

IBM®

Programming at IBM

**"It's a chance
to use everything
you've got"**



Deerfield Drops Frosh

Our Freshman Squash team suffered its second loss of the season Wednesday, falling to a strong Deerfield squad by a score of 6-3.

Individual winners for the Bantams were Captain Jay Davis playing second position, Alex Aubry playing in the number four slot, and Pete Robinson who played eighth position. All won by identical game scores of 3-1.

Number one player John Heppe lost a hard-fought 3-1 match to Dave Talbot, who is currently ranked as the number one prep school player in New England. Frank MacGruer, Barney Flechter, and Richard Palmer, playing the third, fifth, and sixth positions respectively, all lost close 3-2 matches. If only two of these matches had gone the other way the final outcome would have been a different story.

Coach Mike Moonves' only comment after the meet was "It could have easily gone either way."

The freshman record now stands at three victories, against two losses.

They will be back in action on January 29, when they will travel to Kent for what promises to be an exciting meet.

In a TRIPOD interview Monday Coach Mike Moonves commented on this year's team. "We have more experienced players this year than before. The players have a lot of desire and hustle which has 'made' our team this year." Moonves was

also optimistic about the future. "These players along with the juniors and sophomores on the varsity should form a team next year to give Trinity one of its best years ever."

Turning toward the future, Moonves commented, "If we could get 100 people to give \$2000 each, we get to build new courts and make Trinity into a national power in squash."

The Cockpit:

1969 Might Be Weird

by Pete Wentz

The year 1968 is past history. It was an unpredictable year in general and in the sports world in particular.

Who would have thought that the Kentucky Derby Winner would be disqualified, and then given the victory, but not the money? Who would have thought that a man who could shoot pressure golf well enough to tie for the lead in the Masters would turn in an incorrect scorecard? And the biggest unpredicted of them all -- the sports story of 1969, which belongs to '68 as well -- Who would have thought the Jets would beat the Colts in the Super Bowl?

Looking ahead to 1969, however, it seems that several things in the sports world, both at Trinity and in the nation seem predictable.

Dispensing with the preliminaries, then, it will be a weird year in sports:

If the Trinity football team, backed by experience and the strong backfield of Bernardoni, Kiarsis, & Co. isn't even better than in '68.

If the Buffalo Bills don't draft O. J. Simpson.

If Trinity's athletic program isn't altered due to co-education.

If the St. Louis Cardinals don't repeat as National League Champs.

If Bill Savitt runs an advertisement that appeals to one's intellect.

If the soccer team captained by Alan Gibby doesn't make the NCAA playoffs again.

If the New York Jets get into the Super Bowl next year.

If the TRIPOD's football predictors have as close a competition as Khoury and Titus did this past year.

If Jack Nicklaus doesn't win a major golf championship.

If Robie Shults' basketballers don't have a winning season as 4 of 5 starters are back next year.

If no NFL coach is given "a vote of confidence" in mid-season and then fired a month later.

If Trinity's golf team doesn't win more than it loses.

If one issue of the TRIPOD is published without a single typo-

Luxemburg, Hamilton Star As Trin Swordsmen Slash Fordham

The rejuvenated Trinity fencing team got back on the winning track Wednesday with a 15-12 victory over Fordham.

The triumph boosted the fencers' record to 1-1, following an earlier loss to Brooklyn College. The Bantams entertain Southern Mass. Tech Saturday at 2 p.m. in the Washington Room.

Trinity got off to a fast start in Wednesday's action against Fordham. All three sabre men won their opening round duels. Captain Joel Greenspan led off the action with a victory and was quickly followed by triumphs by sophomores Paul Cullen and Marshall Garrison. Trinity led 3-0 following the opening sabre round.

Jack Luxemburg was the only Trinity player to win in the first round epee competition. John Gaston and Mike Paszek were defeated to give the Bantams a 4-2 edge.

It was in the opening foil round that Trinity began to pull away. All three Trinity fencers won. Freshman Paul Meyendorff got the foil of Trinity off to a fast start and was followed by victories by juniors Stu Hamilton and Scott Sutton. At the close of the opening round, Trinity held a 7-2 lead.

The Trinity fencers continued to hold their own in the second of three rounds. Both Greenspan and Garrison emerged victorious in their sabre matches as Trinity's lead went to 9-3.

Luxemburg and Paszek triumphed in the epee matches as the lead ballooned to 11-4. Hamilton was the only foil man to win for Trinity.

The score after two rounds showed Trinity ahead 12-6. At this point, however, the Bantams began to falter.

Trinity's downfall began in the sabre as neither Greenspan,

Cullen, nor Garrison was able to win. These three Fordham victories cut the margin to 12-9 and it appeared that Fordham might have a chance.

The epee matches settled the question, however, Luxemburg notched his third victory of the day. This triumph was quickly followed by John Gaston's first victory and the Bantams led 14-10, giving Fordham no chance to even tie.

Stu Hamilton garnered his third victory of the afternoon in the foil competition.

The Bantams received great individual performances from both Luxemburg and Hamilton, each having a perfect day. Garrison and Greenspan each grabbed two triumphs in the sabre.

Icemen Tie Engineers

All signs pointed to victory for the Trinity hockey team as it invaded the MIT arena Tuesday night.

A previous defeat of the Engineers and the momentum of a two-game winning streak seemed to easily outweigh the slight handicap of playing on alien ice. However, the unpredictable Bantams were stopped cold. Indeed, they had to scramble for a tie as Paul Bushueff's last minute goal prevented a stunning upset. The team now enters Saturday's clash against the Yale J. V.'s with a record of three wins, two losses and a tie.

MIT scored first to put the Hill-toppers at a disadvantage. Trinity evened the score early in the second period on steady Henry Barkhausen's sixth goal of the year. Kirk Marckwald assisted Barkhausen on the play.

The Bantams fell behind again however as the Engineers closed the period with a slim 2-1 lead. Trinity entered the third period grimly battling to regain command. With four minutes of regulation playing time remaining, Paul Bushueff scored his goal to deadlock the contest.

Unfortunately, this dramatic reprieve was all the Bantams could muster. Neither team could score during the ten minute overtime period as the game ended in a 2-2 stalemate.

Once again, sophomore sensation Sheldon Crosby was outstanding in the goal. Actually, the second MIT goal was a fluke which somehow managed to penetrate the plucky puckster's defense.

Lineman Peter Lawrence, who was continually harassed by the close-guarding Engineer defensemen, brought the crowd to its feet when he nimbly evaded the murderous onrush of one would-be checker who then crashed full-force into the sideboards.

Nonetheless, despite these sparkling individual performances, the game must be considered a major disappointment.



A First Class Haircut
Can't Be Beat!

Patronize —

**TOMMY'S
BARBER SHOP**
105 New Britain Ave;
near Broad Street
1 minute walk from
Field House

Faculty Group...

(Continued from Page 1)

from the students, and from outside agencies.

The proposal also gives the Secretary of the Faculty the power to set the agenda for Faculty meetings, present to the Trustees, "normally through the Dean of the Faculty," all actions of the Faculty, and explain Faculty actions to the Trustees at the request of the Faculty. In addition the Secretary is made a non-voting member of all Faculty committees except the Committee on Appointments and Promotions.

The election for members of the Trinity Faculty Conference is "in progress" according to Neaverson. The proposal provides that the Faculty elects seven of its members to the Conference. The eighth member is elected from among the Faculty representatives to the Trinity College Council. The proposal states that among those elected to the Conference there must be a professor, an associate professor, an assistant professor, and an instructor. Those elected will serve for two years, with approximately half the body being elected each year.

Frosh Swimmers Fall To Wilbraham 64-31

The Trinity freshman swimming team began 1969 on a somewhat somber note, falling to Wilbraham 64-31 Wednesday at Trowbridge Pool.

The Baby Bantams finished first in only three of eleven contests. They travel to Coast Guard for a meet tomorrow.

The Baby Bants captured the medley relay in 2:04.0 to start the day off well. Bob D'Agostino, R. Walker, Chip Riehl, and Derek Mansell swam the Trinity victory.

Later, in the 50 freestyle, Mansell finished first in a time of 25.7 sec. Mike McDonald netted third for Trinity in that race. Mansell picked up another victory in the 100 freestyle with a 57.8 sec. clocking. Once again McDonald netted third.

With Mansell teaming with D'Agostino, Riehl, and McDonald, the Baby Bants were barely nosed out in the freestyle relay.

Riehl turned in two other fine performances in addition to the relays. He finished second in both

the 200 individual medley and in the 100 fly.

The rest of the Trinity swimmers could do no better than third against Wilbraham. Jay Chase netted thirds in both the 200 and 400 freestyles. D'Agostino finished third in the 200 individual medley, and Walker grabbed a third in the 100 backstroke.

The team, coached by Don Barrows will be hard-pressed to defeat an always-tough Coast Guard team. The Bantams will need strong performances from swimmers other than Mansell and Riehl if they are to pull off a victory.

The Baby Bants face one of their roughest schedules in years. Following the meet at the Coast Guard Academy, they travel to Williston on January 29. Returning home later in the season, they must tackle some of the toughest prep schools in the area. The Baby Bants close out their season against two colleges, Union on Feb. 21 and Wesleyan on March 1.

Campus Barber Shop

8:30 - 5:30 Tues. - Fri.
8:30 - Sat.

AIR CONDITIONED

CHAUNCEY MITCHELL

289 NEW BRITAIN AVENUE
HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT

247-2953

Next to ABC Pizza

NATIONAL TYPEWRITER COMPANY

Headquarters for OLYMPIA
Precision Built Typewriter

Sales — Rental — Service
Supplies for All Makes of
Office Machines

Special

Rental Rates to Students
247 Asylum St. Hartford
Telephone 527-1115

Huntington's Book Store

110 Asylum Street
Hartford
and
West Hartford Center



Stackpole, Moore, Tryon

115 Asylum Street

ONE OF AMERICA'S FINE STORES

CLOSED ON MONDAY

WASHINGTON

DINNER and RESTAURANT

175 Washington Street



Serving Trinity for Over 20 Years

Open 6 a.m. to 1 a.m. — Every Day.

SENIORS

Teaching opportunities for
beginners in private
independent schools.
Education courses not
prerequisite.

SCHOOL SERVICE BUREAU
Post Office Box 278K
Windsor, Connecticut